	l .				
1	COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LI				
2	SHAWN A. WILLIAMS (213 CHRISTOPHER M. WOOD (2	113)			
3	100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111	,			
4	Telephone: 415/288-4545				
5	415/288-4534 (fax) shawnw@csgrr.com				
6	cwood@csgrr.com				
7	LABATON SUCHAROW LL	P			
8	JONATHAN GARDNER 140 Broadway, 34th Floor New York, NY 10005				
9	Telephone: 212/907-0700 212/818-0477 (fax)				
10 11	ckeller@labaton.com jgardner@labaton.com				
12	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs				
13	[Additional counsel appear on signature page.]				
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
16	CITY OF WESTLAND POLICE AND FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTEM and PLYMOUTH	No. C 07-05111-JSW			
17	COUNTY RETIREMENT SYSTEM, On Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly		CLASS ACTION	-	
18	Situated,)		RDER DENYING SUPERSEDING MOTION	
19	I	Plaintiff,)		E [CORRECTED] D CLASS ACTION	
20	VS.	Ź	COMPLAINT		
21	SONIC SOLUTIONS, et al.,)	DATE: TIME:	September 5, 2008 9:00 a.m.	
22	I	Defendants.)	COURTROOM:	The Honorable Jeffrey S. White	
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

Defendants Sonic Solutions ("Sonic" or the "Company"), David C. Habiger, Robert J. Doris, A. Clay Leighton, Mary C. Sauer, Mark Ely, Robert M. Greber, Peter J. Marguglio and R. Warren Langley's Superseding Motion to Dismiss the [Corrected] Consolidated Class Action Complaint pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure came on for hearing on September 5, 2008, at 9:00 a.m. in this Court. Counsel for plaintiffs and defendants were in attendance and presented oral arguments. Having considered the parties' papers filed in support of and in opposition to the motion, oral argument, and other pleadings and papers on file herein, the Court finds the following:

- 1. With respect to the first cause of action for violation of §10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), defendants' motion is denied. The Court finds that plaintiffs have sufficiently pled facts giving rise to a "cogent and compelling" inference of scienter on the part of each individual defendant, as required by *Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd.*, 127 S. Ct. 2499, 2510 (2007). The Court finds that the scienter of the individual defendants is imputed to the Company. *See In re Hienergy Techs., Inc Sec. Litig.*, No. SACV04-1226DOC(JTLX), 2005 WL 3071250, at * 8 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 25, 2005). The Court also finds that the complaint adequately alleges that each defendant made or participated in the preparation of the challenged statements. Finally, the Court finds that the complaint has sufficiently alleged that all defendants participated in a scheme to defraud in violation of Rule 10b-5(a) and (c).
- 2. With respect to the second cause of action for violation of §14(a), defendants' motion is denied as to the Company's 2005 Proxy Statement. Plaintiffs' claim is timely as to the Company's 2005 Proxy Statement. Plaintiffs have also adequately alleged the 2005 Proxy Statement contained a material misrepresentation, which was a direct link to the transaction voted on in the proxy statement (namely the re-election of the board of directors and their continuation of the option backdating) and that plaintiffs and the class were damaged. *See In re Zoran Derivative Litig.*, 511 F. Supp. 2d 986 (N.D. Cal. 2007).
- 3. With respect to the third cause of action for violation of §20(a) of the Exchange Act, defendants' motion is denied with respect to each individual defendant. The complaint alleges a

predicate violation of the securities law (namely a predicate violation by the Company of §10(b)) and that each individual defendant by virtue of their positions at the Company is a control person. With respect to the fourth cause of action for violation of §20A of the Exchange Act, 3 4. defendants' motion is denied. The Complaint alleges a predicate violation of the federal securities 5 law (namely a predicate violation of §10(b)). In addition, plaintiffs have alleged that they (as well as numerous class members) purchased Sonic securities contemporaneously with the sales of 6 defendants Robert J. Doris, Mary C. Sauer, Mark Ely, A. Clay Leighton, Peter J. Marguglio, R. 8 Warren Langley and Robert M. Greber. This is sufficient to allege a §20A violation as to each such 9 defendant. See Middlesex Ret. Sys. v. Quest Software Inc., 527 F. Supp. 2d 1164 (C.D. Cal. 2007). 10 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' motion to dismiss is denied. 11 ORDER 12 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 DATED: THE HONORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 Submitted by: 17 DATED: July 18, 2008 18 COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER 19 **RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP** SHAWN A. WILLIAMS CHRISTOPHER M. WOOD 20 21 22 23 100 Pine Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 24 Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax) 25 26 27 28

1	
2	LABATON SUCHAROW LLP CHRISTOPHER J. KELLER JONATHAN GARDNER
3	140 Broadway, 34th Floor New York, NY 10005
4	Telephone: 212/907-0700 212/818-0477 (fax)
5	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
6	VANOVERBEKE MICHAUD
7	& TIMMONY, P.C.
8	MICHAEL J. VANOVERBEKE THOMAS C. MICHAUD 79 Alfred Street
9	Detroit, MI 48201 Telephone: 313/578-1200
10	313/578-1201 (fax)
11	Additional Counsel for Plaintiff
12	T:\CasesSF\Sonic Solutions\orD00052691.doc
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 18, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 18, 2008.

s/ Shawn A. Williams

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP

100 Pine Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)

SHAWN A. WILLIAMS

E-mail:<u>shawnw@csgrr.com</u>

[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' SUPERSEDING MOTION TO DISMISS THE [CORRECTED] CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - C 07-05111-JSW

Case 4:07-cv-05111-CW

Document 44

Filed 07/18/2008

Page 6 of 6

Mailing Information for a Case 3:07-cv-05111-JSW

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

• Ramzi Abadou

ramzia@csgrr.com,debh@csgrr.com

· Sara B. Brody

sara.brody@hellerehrman.com, terri.newman@hellerehrman.com, matthew.thurlow@hellerehrman.com, carollynn.thompson@hellerehrman.com, clay.davenport@hellerehrman.com, Central com, carollynn.thompson@hellerehrman.com, clay.davenport@hellerehrman.com, Central com, carollynn.thompson@hellerehrman.com, clay.davenport@hellerehrman.com, Central com, carollynn.thompson@hellerehrman.com, clay.davenport@hellerehrman.com, Central com, carollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.garollynn.thompson.gar

• Jonathan Gardner

jgardner@labaton.com

• John K. Grant

 $johnkg@csgrr.com, JDecena@csgrr.com, khuang@csgrr.com, e_file_sf@csgrr.com, cwood@csgrr.com, e_file_sd@csgrr.com, cwood@csgrr.com, e_file_sd@csgrr.com, e_file_sf@csgrr.com, cwood@csgrr.com, e_file_sf@csgrr.com, e_file$

· Monica Patel

monica.patel@hellerehrman.com

• Darren Jay Robbins

e_file_sd@csgrr.com

• Shawn A. Williams

 $shawnw@csgrr.com, travisd@csgrr.com, e_file_sf@csgrr.com, cwood@csgrr.com, e_file_sd@csgrr.com, aelishb@csgrr.com, aelishb@cs$

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are not on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

Catherine J Kowalewski Lerach Coughlin et al LLP 655 W Broadway #1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-3301

7/18/2008 1:04 PM 1 of 1